FOUNDATIONS ADVANCES

Received 11 November 2014
Accepted 24 February 2015

Edited by W. F. Kuhs, Georg-August University Göttingen, Germany

Keywords: finite Coxeter group; symmetry breaking; fullerenes; nanotubes.

(C) 2015 International Union of Crystallography

# Icosahedral symmetry breaking: $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{84}, \mathrm{C}_{108}$ and to related nanotubes 
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This paper completes the series of three independent articles [Bodner et al. (2013). Acta Cryst. A69, 583-591, (2014), PLOS ONE, 10.1371/journal. pone.0084079] describing the breaking of icosahedral symmetry to subgroups generated by reflections in three-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ as a mechanism of generating higher fullerenes from $\mathrm{C}_{60}$. The icosahedral symmetry of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ can be seen as the junction of 17 orbits of a symmetric subgroup of order 4 of the icosahedral group of order 120 . This subgroup is noted by $A_{1} \times A_{1}$, because it is isomorphic to the Weyl group of the semi-simple Lie algebra $A_{1} \times$ $A_{1}$. Thirteen of the $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ orbits are rectangles and four are line segments. The orbits form a stack of parallel layers centered on the axis of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ passing through the centers of two opposite edges between two hexagons on the surface of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$. These two edges are the only two line segment layers to appear on the surface shell. Among the 24 convex polytopes with shell formed by hexagons and 12 pentagons, having 84 vertices [Fowler \& Manolopoulos (1992). Nature (London), 355, 428-430; Fowler \& Manolopoulos (2007). An Atlas of Fullerenes. Dover Publications Inc.; Zhang et al. (1993). J. Chem. Phys. 98, 3095-3102], there are only two that can be identified with breaking of the $H_{3}$ symmetry to $A_{1} \times A_{1}$. The remaining ones are just convex shells formed by regular hexagons and 12 pentagons without the involvement of the icosahedral symmetry.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, icosahedral symmetry and its implementation in the case of the fullerene $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ (see Fig. 1) is only briefly described, as its detailed exposition was presented in the two previous articles of the series (Bodner et al., 2013, 2014) together with all notations.

The icosahedral group, denoted here by $H_{3}$, is of order 120 . It is generated by three reflections, $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$, in the real Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The simple roots $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ of $H_{3}$ are the normal vectors to the three reflection mirrors that meet at the origin and that define the icosahedral symmetry. They form the $\alpha$-basis of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. A concise way to provide relative angles and a conventional choice of the lengths of the normals (Champagne et al., 1995) is to define the matrix $C$ of their scalar products $\left\langle\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{k}\right\rangle$. In the case of $H_{3}$, one has

$$
C\left(H_{3}\right)=\left(\left\langle\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{k}\right\rangle\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -\tau \\
0 & -\tau & 2
\end{array}\right), \quad \tau=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+5^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

The $\omega$-basis, reciprocal to $\alpha$, is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha_{j}, \omega_{k}\right\rangle=\delta_{j k}, \quad j, k=1,2,3 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Specifically we get the relations between the basis vectors,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1}=2 \omega_{1}-\omega_{2} & \omega_{1}=\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \tau\right) \alpha_{1}+(1+\tau) \alpha_{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}+\tau\right) \alpha_{3} \\
\alpha_{2}=-\omega_{1}+2 \omega_{2}-\tau \omega_{3} & \omega_{2}=(1+\tau) \alpha_{1}+(2+2 \tau) \alpha_{2}+(1+2 \tau) \alpha_{3} \\
\alpha_{3}=-\tau \omega_{2}+2 \omega_{3} & \omega_{3}=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\tau\right) \alpha_{1}+(1+2 \tau) \alpha_{2}+\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2} \tau\right) \alpha_{3} . \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

In this paper, in addition to the $\alpha$ - and $\omega$-bases, it is convenient to use the mixed basis $\left\{\omega_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \omega_{3}\right\}$ because, according to equation (1), $\alpha_{2}$ is orthogonal to the plane spanned by $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{3}$.

Suppose $(a, b, c)$ is given relative to the basis $\left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right\}$. In order to transform it to the basis $\left\{\omega_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \omega_{3}\right\}$, one proceeds as follows:

$$
(a, b, c)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1+\tau & 0  \tag{3}\\
0 & 2+2 \tau & 0 \\
0 & 1+2 \tau & 1
\end{array}\right)=(a, a+2 b+c+(a+2 b+2 c) \tau, c)
$$

Thus one gets the following specific transformations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1,1,0) \longrightarrow(1,3+3 \tau, 0), \\
& (0,-1-2 \tau, 3 \tau) \longrightarrow(0,-1-\tau,-3 \tau), \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The subgroup of interest to us here can be set up in $H_{3}$ in many equivalent ways. One of them is particularly transparent: two of the simple roots of $H_{3}$ that are orthogonal to each other can be adopted as the simple roots of $A_{1} \times A_{1}$. Putting $\beta_{1}=\alpha_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}=\alpha_{3}$ we have

$$
C\left(A_{1} \times A_{1}\right)=\left(\left\langle\beta_{p}, \beta_{q}\right\rangle\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right), \quad p, q=1,2
$$

In the previous work we first considered the symmetry $H_{3}$ broken to the symmetry group $H_{2}$ that is generated by reflections $r_{2}, r_{3}$ (Bodner et al., 2013), and second we considered the breaking of the $H_{3}$ symmetry to $A_{2}$, generated by the reflections $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ (Bodner et al., 2014). In the present paper the unbroken symmetry group is generated by the reflections $r_{1}$ and $r_{3}$. It is the Weyl group of the semi-simple Lie group $S U(2) \times S U(2)$, or equivalently, of its semi-simple Lie algebra


Figure 1
Two views of the polytope $\mathrm{C}_{60}$. In one surface edges are shown. In the other only the orbits of $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ are drawn as segments orthogonal to the axis of the polytope which is the simple root $\alpha_{2}$. The last column of numbers contains coordinates of each $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ orbit in the direction of $\alpha_{2}$.
$A_{1} \times A_{1}$. The order of the group is 4 . Hence its orbits consist of four, two or one point(s). It is convenient to write the orbit points in the $\omega$-basis reciprocal to the $\alpha$-basis of simple roots.

Reduction of the points of any orbit of $H_{3}$, in particular the 60 points/vertices of the polytope $\mathrm{C}_{60}$, is found as in Bodner et al. [2013, equation (11)]. In the list the vertices are given in the basis $\left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right\}$. Only the dominant points that identify the orbits of the appropriate subgroup are pointed out.

## 2. The $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ orbits of vertices of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$

There are two images of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ in Fig. 1. The first one is done traditionally by showing the edges of the surface of the polytope and their intersections (vertices). The second image shows only the $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ orbits. Since the polytope is oriented vertically along the $\alpha_{2}$ axis, the $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ orbits appear as segments, thus forming the 'stack of pancakes', with each $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ orbit being just one 'pancake'.

The $A_{1} \times A_{1}$-orbit structure of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ becomes visible once the dominant points of each orbit are identified, which is simplified by working in the $\omega$-basis of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Indeed, it suffices to find among the 60 vertices those that have non-negative first and third coordinates in the $\omega$-basis, indicating a non-action of the reflections $r_{1}$ and $r_{3}$ on the corresponding vertex/point. Each $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ orbit has precisely one dominant point; therefore it is specified by it.

The 60 vertices of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ are given below in pairs that differ by an overall sign. If the sign of the first and third coordinate of a vertex coincide, one of the pair is a dominant point of an $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ orbit. Boxes mark all such pairs in equation (4). If both the first and the third coordinates are positive, the orbit contains four points. If one of the coordinates is 0 , the orbit is a segment with two vertices at its extremes. The following 60 vertices of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ are written in the basis $\left\{\omega_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \omega_{3}\right\}$. The formula (3) applied to the 60 vertices in the $\omega$-basis of Bodner et al. [2013, equation (11)] results in the following points in the basis $\left\{\omega_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \omega_{3}\right\}$ :

| $\pm(1,3+3 \tau, 0)$ | $\pm(2 \tau, \tau, 2+\tau)$ | $\pm(1+2 \tau, 1+\tau, 2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\pm(-2-\tau, 2+2 \tau, 1)$ | $\pm(2+\tau, 2+2 \tau,-1)$ | $\pm(-1,3+3 \tau, 0)$ |
| $\pm(-1,1+3 \tau,-2 \tau)$ | $\pm(2+\tau, 2+2 \tau, 1)$ | $\pm(1,1+3 \tau,-2 \tau)$ |
| $\pm(1+2 \tau, 1+\tau,-2)$ | $\pm \pm(2,2+3 \tau, \tau)$ | $\pm(\tau, 2 \tau, 1+2 \tau)$ |
| $\pm(-2 \tau, \tau,-2-\tau)$ | $\pm(-1,1+3 \tau, 2 \tau)$ | $\pm(-2,2+3 \tau, \tau)$ |
| $\pm(1,1+3 \tau, 2 \tau)$ | $\pm(-2,2+3 \tau,-\tau)$ | $\pm(-2-\tau, 2+2 \tau,-1)$ |
| $\pm(\tau, 2 \tau,-1-2 \tau)$ | $\pm(-2 \tau, \tau, 2+\tau)$ | $\pm(3 \tau, 0,1)$ |
| $\pm(0, \tau, 3 \tau)$ | $\pm(-\tau, 2 \tau,-1-2 \tau)$ | $\pm(-1-2 \tau, 1+\tau, 2)$ |
| $\pm(2 \tau, \tau,-2-\tau)$ | $\pm(0, \tau,-3 \tau)$ | $\pm(-1-2 \tau, 1+\tau,-2)$ |
| $\pm(-\tau, 2 \tau, 1+2 \tau)$ | $\pm(3 \tau, 0,-1)$ | $\pm(2,2+3 \tau,-\tau)$ |

Thus there are 13 rectangular orbits,

Figure 2


Coloured edges of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ are to be removed before the insertion of additional spiral surface belts is undertaken. Removal of the edges also destroys four surface pentagons. They get replaced by four pentagons of the inserted spirals (Fig. 5).

| $(2 \tau, \tau, 2+\tau)$ | $(1+2 \tau, 1+\tau, 2)$ | $(1,-1-3 \tau, 2 \tau)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(\tau,-2 \tau, 1+2 \tau)$ | $(2,2+3 \tau, \tau)$ | $(2 \tau,-\tau, 2+\tau)$ |
| $(1+2 \tau,-1-\tau, 2)$ | $(1,1+3 \tau, 2 \tau)$ | $((\tau, 2 \tau, 1+2 \tau)$ |
| $(2,-2-3 \tau, \tau)$ | $(2+\tau,-2-2 \tau, 1)$ | $(2+\tau, 2+2 \tau, 1)$ |
| $(3 \tau, 0,1)$ |  |  |

and four orbits of two points,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1,3+3 \tau, 0) \quad(1,-3-3 \tau, 0) \quad(0, \tau, 3 \tau) \quad(0,-\tau, 3 \tau) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to find all the points of an $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ orbit, it suffices to apply to the dominant points the transformation given in equation (5) and to the points given in equation (6) the reflections $r_{1}$ and $r_{3}$ in every way that yields a new point of the orbit.

## The four orbits of two points.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1,1,0), r_{1}(1,1,0)=(-1,2,0) \\
& (0,-1-2 \tau, 3 \tau), r_{3}(0,-1-2 \tau, 3 \tau)=(0,2+\tau,-3 \tau) \\
& (1,-2,0), r_{1}(1,-2,0)=(-1,-1,0) \\
& (0,-2-\tau, 3 \tau), r_{3}(0,-2-\tau, 3 \tau)=(0,1+2 \tau,-3 \tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us find the surface points of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ with the direction of the axis of $\alpha_{2}$ which is orthogonal to the plane spanned by $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{3}$. Clearly the points $(1,1,0)$ and $r_{1}(1,1,0)=(-1,2,0)$ are the end points of an edge on the top of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ oriented as in Fig. 1. We have $\alpha_{2} \sim(1,1,0)+(-1,2,0)=(0,3,0)$.

Let us view $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ as the stack of $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ pancakes. For that we look at the vertices of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ in the direction parallel to the plane spanned by $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{3}$. Then each $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ orbit appears as a segment. If in addition no edges on the surface of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ are shown, we have the 'pancake stack' of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ that is oriented in the direction orthogonal to the plane of $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{3}$, or equivalently, to vector $\alpha_{2}$ (see Fig. 1).

Both images of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ in Fig. 1 display exact icosahedral symmetry, so that no symmetry breaking has occurred.


Figure 3
Pancake structure of $\mathrm{C}_{84}$.

## 3. Symmetry breaking $\mathrm{C}_{60} \rightarrow A_{1} \times A_{1}$

In the previous two papers of this series, related cases were considered of breaking the icosahedral symmetry of $\mathrm{C}_{60} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (Bodner et al., 2013), and the symmetry breaking of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ to $A_{2}$ (Bodner et al., 2014). These cases can also be described as choosing a subgroup generated by selecting two of the three reflections $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ generating $H_{3}$.

## 3.1. $\mathrm{C}_{84}$ from the $H_{3} \rightarrow A_{1} \times A_{1}$ symmetry breaking

The axis along which the symmetry breaking takes place in this paper is that of $\alpha_{2}$. That is the reflections $r_{1}$ and $r_{3}$ remain as symmetry operations, while $r_{2}$ loses this role. The orbits of $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ remain intact because they are in planes spanned by $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{3}$. In particular, the pancakes of Fig. 1 remain unchanged.

Symmetry breaking $\mathrm{C}_{60} \longrightarrow A_{1} \times A_{1}$ occurs in two steps:
(i) New orbits of $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ are inserted into the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ pancake stack.
(ii) Existing orbits of $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ are displaced along the $\alpha_{2}$ direction.

Both steps are subject to the additional constraint that the surface of the new polytope must be closed convex and formed by regular hexagons and 12 regular pentagons.

Both symmetry-breaking steps can be repeated any desired number of times.

Fig. 2 shows which edges of the polytope have to be removed before the insertion of new orbits is undertaken.

### 3.2. Inserted spirals

It remains to describe the orbits of $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ that should be inserted into the stack of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ in Fig. 1 so that it becomes the stack of $\mathrm{C}_{84}$ in Fig. 3.

This cannot be achieved here by insertion of one or several rings of hexagons into a surface of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ as was the case (Bodner et al., 2013, 2014). Here symmetry breaking is taking place through the insertion of one or several spiral loops of hexagons. Since a spiral can be left- or right-hand oriented in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, there are two versions for each new polytope. In Fig. 4 both versions of $\mathrm{C}_{84}$ are shown.

$C_{84}$ left

$C_{84}$ right

Figure 4
Left and right versions of $\mathrm{C}_{84}$ polytopes. The two versions differ by orientation of the inserted spiral belt with respect to the direction of $\alpha_{2}$. Their pancake stacks coincide. Black circles indicate the 24 vertices that were added to $\mathrm{C}_{60}$.

A flattened image of a one-loop spiral of eight hexagons is shown in Fig. 5. The pentagons at its extremes are either incorporated into a continuation of the loop, or are part of the original polytope before the insertion.

Left and right oriented spirals of polytopes $\mathrm{C}_{108}, \mathrm{C}_{132}, \ldots$ and nanotubes arise in a similar way as in the case of $\mathrm{C}_{84}$. Each time the additional two rings of six hexagons ( 24 new vertices) can be inserted into the middle of the structure (see Fig. 6). The greater the number of pairs of hexagonal rings inserted, the longer the resulting nanotube that is built.

## 4. Concluding remarks

Breaking of the icosahedral symmetry of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ to the subgroup $A_{1} \times A_{1}$ is the most complicated of the three possible methods of constructing nanotubes through the repeated application of the symmetry-breaking mechanism. Indeed, in Bodner et al. (2013, 2014) an appropriate number of rings of hexagons and pentagons was inserted between the upper and lower halves of the $\mathrm{C}_{60}$ shell. In the present case a number of complete loops of a spiral of hexagons needs to be inserted between the upper and lower parts of $\mathrm{C}_{60}$.

One may be interested in constructing open-ended nanotubes rather than nanotubes that are closed on both ends; new versatile possibilities occur. Thus one can start from a single layer of graphene, which is the sheet of hexagons in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then cutting a strip of constant width from the graphene, one can



Figure 5
Flattened spiral that, added to $\mathrm{C}_{60}$, transforms it to $\mathrm{C}_{84}$. The three types of dashed lines indicate which edges are to be identified.


Figure $6 \quad C_{108}$ left

$C_{132}$ left

Left versions of the polytopes $\mathrm{C}_{108}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{132}$, where multiple spiral belts (see Fig. 5) were added.
wrap it on a surface of a cylinder of an appropriate radius. It is important that both sides of the strip pass through identical sets of graphene points to have them matched seamlessly on the surface of the cylinder. Such a requirement still leaves an infinite (discrete) number of possible radii of the cylinder. The direction of the strip is dictated by the direction of the roots of the reflection groups.

Fullerenes and related nanotubes are sometimes used as carriers for other molecules in their interior. Symmetry alone admits several possibilities of defining special positions within fullerenes. A systematic description of such cases would be of interest.

An independent, interesting viewpoint on the structure of the fullerenes is found in Kostant $(1994,1995)$.
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